

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

Growth, Physiological and Yield attributes of Chickpea as Influenced by Thermal Power Plant Wastewater, Coal Fly Ash and Different Levels of Phosphorus

Irfan Ahmad

Department of Biotechnology, Dr B R Ambedkar National Institute of Technology Jalandhar, Punjab, India

ABSTRACT: The use of wastewater in agriculture is an unavoidable. In order to evaluate its effect on agricultural crops, an experiment was conducted to study its impact on *Cicerarietinum* L. along with different levels of phophorus. Thermal power plant wastewater (TPPW) and ground water (GW) were used for irrigation along with varying levels of phosphorus (P0, P_{10} , P_{20} , P_{30} and P_{40}) with the basal application of nitrogen and potassium at the rate of 10 and 20 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Fly ash at the rate of 10 kg ha⁻¹ (FA₁₀) was amended with soil to make the soil nutrient rich as fly ash can provide various nutrients. The effectwas evaluated on the basis of growth, biochemical attributes and yield of the crop. From the results it wasclear that the wastewater (TPPW) proved not only effective source of water but the nutrients also. Enhanced growth led to the better yield of the plants. Moreover the fertilizer (P) requirement of the crop was also reduced. Inconclusion wastewater and fly ash (FA₁₀) showed no inhibitory effect on the growth of the crop and thus could effectively supplementnot only the nutrient requirement of the crop but may also act as the source of water.

KEYWORDS: Wastewater, fly ash, Phosphorus, Yield and Chickpea.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pulses occupy a unique position in everyknown systems of farming all over the world. Amongpulses chickpea (*Cicer arietinumL.*), the premierpulse crop of India, popularly known as Gram orBengal gram is mainly grown in rabiseason. It is the member of family Leguminaceae and sub familyPapilionaceae. Chickpea is a rich source of highlydigestible dietary protein (17-21%),carbohydrate (61.5%) and fat (4.5%). It is also rich in Ca, Fe, niacin, vitamin-B and vitamin C. Its leaves contain malic acid which is very usefulfor stomach ailments and blood purification. It being a pulse crop enriches the soil through symbioticnitrogen fixation (1). The supplyof phosphorus to legumes is more important than ofnitrogen because, later being fixed by symbiosis withrhizobium bacteria. The beneficial effects ofphosphorus on nodulation, growth, yield and generalbehaviour of legume crop have been well establishedbecause it plays an important role in rootdevelopment. Phosphorus application to legumesplays a key role in the formation of energy richphosphate bonds, phospholipids and for development for ot systemand nitrogen fixation(2). Recent researches revealed that there is a good response of chickpea to phosphorus fertilizer (3). If the phosphate availability from the soil is limited, the growth and nitrogen fixation are affected (4).

Exploding population growth, industrialization and urbanization (5 &6) posed declination of fresh water, on one hand, and the production of huge volume of wastewater day by day, very fast, throughout the world, on the other hand. This is creating a pressure on farmers to adopt their farming with wastewater irrigation, as they are unaware of the pollution of air, water and land and that the cost of treatment of wastewater is too high in developing countries including India. However, in this context, using of wastewater for agriculture practices is a potential solution to reduce fresh water demand and a feasible option of various nutrients, which are believed to have a positive effect on soil properties and crop production in a sustainable way. But, it is the necessity of the present era to think about the existing



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

wastewater disposal infrastructure, wastewater agriculture practices, quality of water used and awareness related to pollution issues. The important references in the aspect of use of wastewater come from fourteenth and fifteenth centuries in the Milanese Marcites and in the Valencian huertas, respectively (7). It is still continued in practices and has gained interest worldwide due to it being rich in essential nutritive plant elements, especially in N, P and K in addition to Na, Ca, Mg, S, Cl, Cu, Fe, B, Zn, salts, pH, and organic matter. Wastewater irrigation is now quite common in many countries like Europe, the USA, Mexico, Australia, China, Chile, Peru, Egypt, Lebanon, Morocco, Vietnam, South Africa and India, where it has been used as a source of crop nutrients and concluded that the use of wastewater in agriculture irrigation responds to multiple benefits: solving the problem of disposal, minimizing the risk of high demand for clean drinking water, reducing the direct input and need of high amounts of inorganic fertilizers and improving soil with its fertile quality (8). Further, it encountered the food demand, as it consequently permits higher yield of various range of field crops, including vegetables as compared to clean water irrigation (9, 10, 11 & 12). Another waste, fly ash (solid waste), is defined as an end by-product produced after coal combustion at high temperature in thermal power stations. Like water scarcity and increased food demand, the energy/power crisis is one of the considered factors in India. In order to meet the energy demand, use of coal as the prime energy source, calls for burning in large amount, leading to the generation of large amount of this solid waste product. It has been expected that the production of fly ash will likely exceed up to 225 million tons in the next two years, i.e. by 2017 (13). However, the utilization percentage of fly ash in India is still very low, especially in the agriculture sectors. But the use has increased substantially year wise (14). Fly ash due to its efficacy in modification of soil's physical and chemical health has been reported with great potency to improve crop productivity in Indian agriculture. As a matter of fact, fly ash practically consists of all the essential elements present in the soil except very low amount of organic carbon and nitrogen, if any. Thus, its utilization would not only be a solution of disposal but might also reduce the inorganic fertilizers, especially non-nitrogenous (15).

Considering vital importance of chickpea and disposal problem of wastewater (TPPW) and coal fly ash (FA) a five pot experiment was conducted toassess the effect of TPPW, fly ash and P on growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of chickpea.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A five pot experiment was conducted during the rabi (winter) season of the year 2001 on chickpea cultivar BG-256, to strengthen the findings of earlier experiment (1999 and 2000) with inorganic fertilizer doses. Here again the comparative effect of TPPW and GW was studied. On the basis of observations made during 1999 and 2000, the best concentration of fly ash i.e. 10% and nitrogen at the rate 10 Kg ha⁻¹ were selected. Fly ash (FA₁₀)was added to the soil, making the final weight of fly ash amended soil up to 7kg ha⁻¹. Different doses of phosphorus i.e. 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40kg ha⁻¹ were supplemented in order to work out the optimum dose for cultivar BG-256. A uniform basal dose of nitrogen and potassium at the rate of 10Kg ha⁻¹ and 20kg ha⁻¹ were also applied, respectively before sowing. Healthy seeds of more or less uniform size were surface sterilized and then inoculated (16).Before irrigation the water samples were again collected and analysed for physico-chemical characteristics(17). The soil/flyash samples were collected before the start of the experiment. These samples were also analysed for standard physico-chemical properties (18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 & 24). Observations were carried out at vegetative, flowering, fruiting and at harvest stages. For the study of the root, the plants were uprooted carefully and washed gently to clear all the adhering particles. For assessing dry weight, three plants form each treatment were dried, after taking their fresh weight, in hot air oven at 80°C for two days and weighed. The area of leaves was measured using leaf area meter (*LA 211, Systronics, India*). For nodule number, whole plant was uprooted with the precaution that the roots or the nodules may not be damaged. Samples were washed gently to wipe away all the adhering foreign particles and the number was carefully counted.

NRA and chlorophyll were estimated (25 &26). Healthy leaves were collected at different samplings stages for the estimation of N, P (27 &28) and K contents. Potassium was estimated with the help of flame photometer. Ten millilitres of aliquot was taken and K was read using the filter for potassium. A blank was also run side by side with each set of determinations. The readings were compared with a calibration curve plotted against known dilutions of standard potassium chloride solution. At harvest, yield attributes including seeds per pod, pods per plant, 100-seed weight, and seed yield per plant were noted and protein content (29) in the seeds was measured. The data for the growth and yield of each experiment were analysed statistically taking into consideration the variables (30). The 'F' test was applied to assess the significance of data at 5% level of probability ($p \le 0.05$). The error due to replication was also determined.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

Table 1. Chemical characteristics of soil and fly ash before sowing. All determinations in mg l^{-1} in 1: 5 (soil-water extract) or as specified.

Soil		Fly ash					
Determinations	Year 2001	Determinations	Year 2001				
Texture	Sandy loam	CEC (meq 100g ⁻¹ fly	9.37				
		ash)					
CEC (meq 100g ⁻¹ soil)	3.24	pН	8.50				
pH	8.10	Organic carbon (%)	1.59				
Organic carbon (%)	0.803	EC (μ mhos cm ⁻¹)	1101.00				
EC (μ mhos cm ⁻¹)	373.00	$NO_3^{-}-N$ (g kg ⁻¹ fly	0.03				
		ash)					
$NO_{3}^{-}-N$ (g kg ⁻¹ soil)	0.303	Phosphorus (g kg ⁻¹ fly	2.03				
		ash)					
Phosphorus (g kg ⁻¹ soil)	0.127	Potassium	15.00				
Potassium	18.00	Calcium	18.61				
Calcium	28.37	Magnesium	16.73				
Magnesium	15.29	Sodium	13.21				
Sodium	12.63	Carbonate	11.24				
Carbonate	20.16	Bicarbonate	66.19				
Bicarbonate	97.35	Sulphate	24.21				
Sulphate	17.42	Chloride	17.17				
Chloride	38.29						

Table 2. Chemical characteristics of ground water (GW) and thermal power plant wastewater (TPPW). All determinations in mg l⁻¹ or as specified.

Determinations	2001				
	Sampling I		Sampling II		
	GW	WW	GW	WW	
Ph	7.8	8.2	7.6	8.3	
EC (μ mhos cm ⁻¹)	770	800	730	850	
TS	913	1328	927	1324	
TDS	515	639	552	689	
TSS	417	682	410	711	
BOD	17.22	68.18	16.04	55.78	
COD	35.18	134.24	36.20	119.82	
Mg	18.36	27.17	17.35	28.21	
Са	25.21	44.19	24.37	42.34	
Κ	8.06	16.13	8.20	16.29	
Na	17.36	44.39	17.21	42.19	
HCO ₃	61	91	58	87	
CO_3	19	52	20	41	
Cl	75.31	105.34	74.18	108.19	
PO ₄	0.69	1.12	0.72	1.19	
NO ₃ –N	0.73	1.04	0.77	1.03	
NH ₃ –N	2.57	5.81	2.64	5.64	
SO ₄	47	66	49	68	



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The wastewater contained considerable amount of nutrients which are considered essential for maintaining the soil fertility as well as for enhancing the plant growth and productivity. Wastewater in general proved beneficial in increasing the plant growth characteristics and dry matter accumulation was higher in plants receiving it as a source of irrigation water compared to those receiving ground water (GW). TPPW increased dry mater as compared to GW used. This is primarily due to the retention of the WW components mainly due the incorporation of the elements in the dry matter of plants (31) leading to decreasing concentration in the surrounding ground and surface waters. Wastewater contained nitrogen in both nitrate (NO³⁻) as well as in the form of ammonia (NH⁴⁺) and as the vegetative growth includes formation of new leaves, stems and roots, the involvement of N through protein metabolism controls them. This was also clearly indicated by the observed enhanced growth and N content in the leaves under the wastewater irrigation (Fig. 1-6). Suitability of NH⁴⁺ or NO³⁻ for the growth and development of plants depends upon many factors (32). However, normally the highest growth rate and plant yield are obtained by combined supply of both and therefore, in the present study, the improvement in growth could be due to the cumulative effect of ammonium as well as nitrate ions together (33, 34 & 31). Therefore, observed nutritional superiority of the wastewater (containing both ammonium-N and nitrate-N) for growth of chickpea was not exceptional and possibly explains the reason of better performance of the crop grown under WW irrigation. Another possible explanation of the increased dry matter is possibly because of the increased leaf area and expansion (Fig. 2b) brought about by N supply (Taylor et al. (35) and Gastal and Lemaire (36) which may be through its effect on cell division and cell expansion(37). Besides nitrogen, phosphorus when supplied in limiting amounts has much greater impact on growth than on photosynthesis and has been reported in sugarbeet (38). During the present study better growth and yield of plants were observed receiving wastewater having considerable amount of P (Table 2) in addition to other nutrients. The observation of the improved performance of the crop receiving it as a source of irrigation water was therefore, understandable. Although it further needs emphasis that application of phosphorus has its limitations as "P" when applied to the soil is very rapidly changed to less soluble form and therefore, becomes less and less available with time. Therefore, irrigation through wastewater up to close to harvest could have ensured its availability and thus might have improved the growth and development which ultimately led to higher seed productivity (Fig. 7b).Similarily "K" is known to play a significant role in stomatal opening and closing (39). It is well known that N is fully utilized for crop production only when K is adequate (40). The presence of K is almost double the amount in wastewater than in groundwater (Table 2), therefore, benefited the treated crop not only due to its own physiological role (41) but also by enhancing the effect of N. While it increased the chlorophyll content of alfalfa leaves and also the CO₂ exchange rate on plant⁻¹ basis (42), it is not surprising that this nutrient (along with Mg) improved the chlorophyll content in the present study also (Fig. 5b). This was also strengthened by the presence of higher N in the leaves of the plants receiving the wastewater (Fig. 6a). On the other hand presence of other essential nutrient like sulphur could have also played a vital role in plant metabolism as its deficiency is common (43). It may be pointed out that the application of nitrogen in the form of urea is ineffective unless sulphur is applied simultaneously and its deficiency reduces the leaf area (44), chlorophyll contents (45). Moreover in sulphur deficient plants, not only the protein content decreases but also the sulphur content in the proteins indicating that the proteins with lower proportions of methionine and cysteine but higher proportion of other amino acids such as arginine and aspartate are synthesized (46) and the lower sulphur content of the proteins influences the nutritional quality considerably(47). Although in the present study, quality of the protein was not worked out but the total protein was significantly enhanced in the wastewater irrigated plants (Fig. 4). Similarly, the presence of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (Table 2) could have further added the benefits as Ca^{2+} being an essential component of cell wall is involved in the cell division (48) while Mg^{2+} is a central atom of chlorophyll and is required for structural integrity of chloroplast (49). It may be pointed out that the chlorophyll content was enhanced in plants grown under wastewater (Fig. 5b) indicating the possible involvement of Mg^{2+} in addition to other nutrients. Similarly, the presence of Cl⁻, one of the essential micronutrients, could also have played an important role in stomatal regulation (50). The observed enhanced growth and development ultimately led to increase in 100 seed weight (Fig. 7a) because of the ensured supply and availability of above mentioned nutrients might have played a cumulative role in enhancing the metabolic activities and finally the seed yield and protein content (Fig. 7c). In the present study 10% fly ash was taken along with varying doses



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

of phosphorus. From the five doses of phosphorus, P_{30} when supplied with wastewater and fly ash (FA₁₀) proved optimum for growth as well as yield. It has been supported that fly ash can increase the soil fertility by improving its texture (51) and water holding capacity (52), thereby affecting the plant growth indirectly. Its most important direct role is to correct the nutrient balance in the medium (53) as some of the naturally existing essential nutrients enrich it (54 & 55). It is known to be source of B (56), Ca (57), Cu (58), K (59), Mg (53), Mo (60), S (61) and Zn (62). Expectedly, it was due to the presence of these essential elements in our fly ash samples (Table 1) that supplemented those supplied by the soil and wastewater. However, the benefit of fly ash proved only of limited nature.Nodulationwas also increased on adding fly ash (10%). It may be noted that high doses of fly ash added to the soil decrease the microbial activity due to change in soil salinity or concentrations of potentially toxic elements (63). This could not only delay nodulation but also cause a decrease in their number as noted by Martensson and Witter (64). Although fly ash contained an extremely small amount of nitrogen, an increase in NRA was observed because of the presence of Mo (60) in fly ash and sufficient quality of available nitrogen in the soil (Table 1) might have accelerated the rate of NR activity. Increase in seed protein content was observed due to the pressure of additional P and K in fly ash may be responsible for it. This has also been reported by Bhaisare *et al.* (65), Khan *et al.* (66), MiLovsky (67), Sriramachandrasekharan (68).

Growth and yield of chickpea responded favourably to P application. It has to be kept in mind that plant factors as well as soil factors have great influence over the utilization of this indispensable nutrient. Its effect on leaf area enhancement has been reported by Rao and Subramanian (69) in cowpea and by Reddy et al. (70) in groundnut. Similarly, increase in branch number has been noted due to P application in chickpea by Parihar (71), in dry matter accumulation by Khokar and Warsi (72), in nodule number and nodule dry weight by Idris et al. (73) as well as Singh and Ram (74) and in seed yield by Parihar (71). Legumes show an evident preference for phosphatic fertilizers (75). In the present study, P₃₀ proved optimum for most of the growth, yield (Fig. 1-7) and physiological parameters (Fig. 5). As mentioned earlier, the enhanced leaf area (Fig. 2b) enabled the plants to produce more photosynthates and dry matter (Fig. 1c, 3c & 4c) and also more pods (Fig. 7a). These observations are pertinent, as P is known to facilitate the partitioning of photosynthates between source and sink (76). It has been observed earlier that dinitrogen fixation by Rhizobium is enhanced if the plants are supplied P along with K (77, 78 & 79). It has been further reported that P fertilization especially on N and P deficient soils, enhanced nodule development by increasing nodule number, dry weight and nodule growth rate. In the present study, the observed increase in nodulation due to P application (Fig. 4) was through its role in the proliferation of roots which provided larger surface area as indicated by higher root dry weight (Fig. 3c) of the P-treated plants. This favourable response regarding dry matter accumulation was probably due to increased production of photosynthates in the shoot and its transfer to root. This assumption is strengthened by the observed increase in leaf area (Fig. 2b) and shoots dry weight (Fig. 1c). In our study, P₃₀, a dose comparatively lower than that recommended for chickpea, seems to have become sufficient probably due to the presence of additional P especially in wastewater and to a lesser extent in fly ash. It may be pointed out that P is often limiting nutrient due to its low availability in comparison to K which is not only easily recycled from organic residues but is also readily available from the fertilizers applied to the soil. Hence, the ameliorating roles played by the P present in wastewater and fly ash. A deficiency of N, on the other hand, is largely compensated in the legume corps through nitrogen fixation. Therefore, the quality of P fertilizer to be applied to such crops is critically important as the amount of available P often declines with time. Therefore, the regular supply of P, through wastewater application, proved effective and useful as mentioned above. P also increased leaf N content but it remained ineffective in increasing leaf P level. Such observations of enhanced N concentration due to P fertilization in tropical legumes were also reported by Shaw et al. (80), Andrews and Robins (81) and Dradu (82). Higher nodulation due to P application might have increased the N content in leaf through more efficient nitrogen fixation, followed by that of K, thus indirectly enhancing the growth performance of the corp. The application of P also proved beneficial for seed protein content (Fig. 7c) due to its assured availability and continuous utilization by carbon skeletons for amino acid synthesis as well as that of energy rich ATP and manifesting this in enhanced protein synthesis in the seeds. Being a part of the protein molecules enhanced N levels in leaves due to the application of P (Fig. 6a) might have triggered and maintained the conversion of various organic acids (produced from carbohydrates during respiration) into amino acids. The known role of K (also present in sufficient quantity) in activating various enzymes involved in protein synthesis (83 &84). The ameliorative effect of nutrients present in the



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

applied wastewater and fly ash, together with the N and P applied as fertilizers, was pronounced when interaction was considered. On defining interaction, Russell (85) states that if two factors are limiting or nearly limiting growth, adding only one of them will have little effect, while adding both together will have a very considerable effect. In the context of crop plants, two such factors show a positive interaction if the response of the crop to both together is larger than the sum of responses to each separately. In this context, mention may be made of the work conducted by Bouldin and Sample (86 & 87) who observed that such a positive interaction was also due to the increased solubility of P because of close association of the two fertilizers applied as sources of N and P. Similarly Kilcher et al. (88) observed that N dose had little effect on yield increases while the combination of N and P increased the yield in forages. Similarly, Black (89) observed that N and P together increased the forage yields in wheat grass and no yield increase was observed under P alone. It may be emphasized that wastewater and fly ash supplemented these nutrients thereby proving economically efficacious on the one hand and environmentally acceptable on the other. To conclude, the addition of P in combination with other inputs (TPPW \times FA₁₀ \times N₁₀ \times P₃₀) significantly increased growth, yield (Fig. 1-7) and nodulation in chickpea cv. BG-256 which ultimately led to higher pod number, heavier seeds (7a) and higher seed protein content (Table 65). This improvement was due to the combined effect of N and P applied to the soil together with the nutrients present in wastewater and fly ash, confirming their suitability as a combined source of irrigation water and nutrients (Table2). These findings thus provide a positive conclusion with regard to the objectives of the present study. Therefore, for the cultivation of chickpea, basal application of 10 kg fly ash ha⁻¹, 10 kg N ha⁻¹ and 30 kg P ha⁻¹ may be recommended under TPPW irrigation. Finally, TPPW and fly ash, which are by all means waste product of Thermal Power Plant, may be profitably utilized for agriculture purpose.





(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017







Fig. 2. Effect of wastewater and phosphorus on chickpea cv. BG-256





(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017



Fig. 3. Effect of wastewater and phosphorus on chickpea cv. BG-256 Fig. 4. Effect of wastewater and phosphorus on chickpea cv. BG-256



Fig. 5. Effect of wastewater and phosphorus on chickpea cv. BG-256



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017











Fig. 6. Effect of wastewater and phosphorus on chickpea cv. BG-256 Fig. 7. Effect of wastewater and phosphorus on chickpea cv. BG-256



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

REFERENCES

[1] M. L. Dotaniya, V. D. Meena and H. Das, "Chromium toxicity on seed germination, root elongation and coleoptile growth of pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan)", Legume Res., vol. 37(2), pp. 225-227, 2014.

[2] S. L. Tisdale, W. S. Nelson and Beaton, "Soil Fertility and Fertilizers", Mcmillan Publishers Company, New York, 1985.

[3] Mehar, Singh, Rakeshkumar, Singh, R.C., "Agrotechnology for kabuli chickpea", In: Proceedings of National Symposium on Agronomy: Challenges and Strategies for the Millennium, Gujarat Agriculture University Campus, Junagadh, pp. 128-135, 2000.

[4] J. Prasad and C. L. Sanoria, "Response of bengal gram to seed and bacterium and phosphorus", Seeds and Farms, vol. 7, pp. 31-32, 1981.

[5] World Health Organization, "World health statistics 2009", Geneva: WHO Press. ISBN 97892 4 156381 9, 2009.

[6] R. J. Wyman, "The effects of population on the depletion of fresh water", Population and Development Review, vol. 39, pp. 687-704, 2013.

[7] M. Soulie and L. Tremea, "Technologie pour le traitement et la réutilisation des eaux usées dans le basin méditerranéen [Technology for the treatment and reuse of waste water in the Mediterranean]", In Proceedings of the 3rd Meeting of the Regional Agency for Environment (pp. 171– 255). Provence – Alpes – Côte d'Azur [Provence – Alpes – Reviera], 1991.

[8] B. Jimenez and T. Asano, "Water reclamation and reuse around the world", In B. Jiménez & T. Asano (Eds.), Water reuse: An international survey of current practice, issues and needs(p. 648). London: IWA Publishing, 2008.

[9] P. Drechsel, O. Cofe, M. Fink, G. Danso, F. M. Zakari and R. Vasquez, "Closing the rural-urban nutrient cycle", Options for municipal waste composting in Ghana (Final Scientifc Report submitted to IDRC, project 100376). IWMI, Ghana, 2004.

[10] J. Ensink, T. Mahmood, W. van der Hoek, L. Raschid-Sally, and F. Amerasinghe, "A nation-wide assessment of wastewater use in Pakistan: An obscure activity or a vitally important one?" Water Policy, vol. 6, pp. 197-206, 2004.

[11] T. Lai, "Perspectives of peri-urban vegetable production in Hanoi", background paper prepared for the Action Planning Workshop of the CGIAR Strategic Initiative for Urban and Peri-urban Agriculture (SIUPA)", Hanoi, 6-9 June. In International Potato Center (CIP). Lima, 2002.

[12] P. K. Singh, P. B. Deshbhratar and D. S. Ramteke, "Effects of sewage wastewater irrigation on soil properties, crop yield and environment", Agricultural Water Management, vol. 103, pp. 100-104, 2012.

[13] J. S. Singh, "Coal flyash in agriculture-beneficial or risky?" Science Report, vol. 6, pp. 43 45, 2012.

[14] Ministry of Environment and Forests, "Fly ash notification", 3rd April. New Delhi: Ministry of Environment and Forests, 2007.

[15] S. Sahay, A. Inam, A. Inam and S. Iqbal, "Modulation in growth, photosynthesis and yield attributes of black mustard (B. nigra cv. IC247) by interactive effect of wastewater and fly ash under different NPK levels, Cogent Food and Agriculture, vol. 1, pp. 1087632, 2015.

[16] N. S. Subba Roa, "Advances in agricultural microbiology", Oxfordand IBM Pub., New Delhi pp. 296, 1982.

[17] APHA (American Public Health Association), "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 20th ed.. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 1998.

[18] M. L. Jackson, "Soil Chemical Analysis". Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, 1973.

[19] A. K. Ganguly, J. Phys. Colloidal Chem., vol.55, pp. 1417-1428, 1951.

[20] A. J. Walkley and I. A. Black, "Estimation of soil organic carbon by the carbonic acid titration method", Soil Sci, vol.37, pp. 29-38, 1934.

[21] S. R. Dickman and R. H. Bray, "Colorimetric determination of phosphate", Indus. Engg. Chem. (Anal.), vol. 12, pp.665-668, 1940.
[22] S. L. Chopra and J. S. Kanwar, "Analytical Agriculture Chemistry", Kalyani Publ., New Delhi, pp. 191-205, 1982.

- [23] L. A. Richards, "Diagnosis and improvement of saline alkali soils", Agric. Handbook US Deptt. Agric. 60, Washington, D.C., 1954.
- [24] A. B. Ghosh, J. C. Bajaj, R. Hassan and D. Singh, "Soil and Water Testing Methods, A Lab Manual", IARI, New Delhi, 1988.

[25] E. G. Jaworski, "Nitrate reductase assay in intact plant tissue", Biophys. Res. Comm., vol. 43, pp. 1274-1279, 1971.

[26] Y. G. G. Mac-Kinney, "Absorption of light of chlorophyll solutions", J. Biol. Chem., vol. 140, pp. 315-322, 1941.

- [27] R. C. Lindner, "Rapid analytical methods for some of the more inorganic constituents of plant tissues, Plant Physiol., vol. 19, pp. 76-89, 1944.
- [28] C. H. Fiske, and Y. Subba Row, "The colorimetric determination of phosphorus", J. Biol. Chem., vol. 66, pp. 375-400, 1925.
- [29] O. H. Lowry, N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, and R. J. Randall, "Protein measurement with Folin phenol reagent", J. Biol. Chem. vol. 193, pp. 265-275, 1951.

[30] V. G. Panse and P. V. Sukhatme, "Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers", Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication, pp. 87-89 1985

[31] M. D. Murphy, J. M. Boggan, "Sulphur deficiency in herbage in Ireland. I. Causes and extent", Irish J. Agric. Res., vol. 27, pp. 83–90, 1988.

[32] E. A. Kirkby, "Plant growth in relation to nitrogen supply", In: Terrestrial Nitrogen Cycles. Processes, Ecosystem Strategies and Management

Impacts, Eds. Clarke FE, Rosswall T, pp. 249-267. Ecol. Bull. Stockholm 33, Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR) Stockholm, 1981.

[33] G. S. Weissman, "Effects of ammonium and nitrate nutrition on protein level and exudate composition", Plant Physiol., vol. 39, pp. 947-952, 1964.

[34] W. J. Cox and H. M. Reisenauer, "Growth and ion uptake by wheat supplied nitrogen as nitrate or ammonium or both", Plant Soil, vol. 38, pp. 363-380, 1973.

[35] G. Taylor, A. J. S. McDonald, I. Stadenberg and P. H. Freer-Smith, "Nitrate supply and the biophysics of leaf growth in Salix viminalis", J. Exp. Bot., vol. 44, pp. 155-164, 1993.

[36] F. Gastal, G. Lemaire, "N uptake and distribution in crops: An agronomical and ecophysiological perspective", J. Exp. Bot., vol. 53, pp. 789-799, 2002.

[37] G. Lemaire, "Ecophysiology of grassland: Dynamic aspects of forage plant population in grazed swards", In Proceedings of the XIX International Grassland Congress, Brazil, pp. 29-37. Sa ~o Paulo: SBZ, 2001.

[38] I. M. Rao and N. Terry, "Leaf phosphate status, photosynthesis in vivo in sugar beet. I. Changes in growth, photosynthesis and Calvin cycle enzymes", Plant Physiol., vol. 90, pp. 814–819, 1989.

[39] R. A. Fischer and T. C. Hsiao, "Stomatal opening in isolated epidermal strips of Vicia faba. II. Responses to KCl concentration and the role of



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

potassium adsorption", J. Plant Physiol., vol. 43, pp. 1953-1958, 1968.

[40] K. Mengel and E. A. Kirkby, "Principles of plant nutrition", International Potash Institute, Berne, Switzerland. pp. 462-464, In: Potassium in Agriculture, 1985. p. 515, R.D. Munson (Ed.) Publ. by ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI., USA, 1982.

[41] D. D. Wolf, E. L. Kimbrough and R. E. Blaser, "Photosynthetic efficiency of alfalfa with increasing potassium nutrition", Crop Sci., vol. 16, pp. 292-298, 1976.

[42] M. Collins and S. H. Duke, "Influence of potassium fertilizer rate and form on photosynthesis and N_2 fixation of alfalfa", Crop Sci.21: 481-485. In: Potassium in Agriculture. (Eds. Duke, S.H. and Collins, M). p. 445. ASA CSSA SSSA, Madison, WI., USA, 1981.

[43] M. D. Murphy, J. M. Boggan, "Sulphur deficiency in herbage in Ireland. I. Causes and extent", Irish J. Agric. Res., vol. 27, pp. 83-90, 1988.

[44] C. H. Wang, T. H. Liem, D. S. Mikkelsen, "Sulphur deficiency: A limiting factor in rice production in the lower Amazon basin. II. Sulphur requirement for rice production", IRI Res. Inst., vol. 48, pp. 9–30, 1976.

[45] K. J. Deitz, "Recovery of spinach leaves from sulphur and phosphate deficiency", Plant Physiol., vol.134, pp. 551–557, 1989.

[46] P. J. Randall, C. W. Wrigley, "Effect of sulphur supply on the yield, composition, and quality of grain from cereals, oil seeds and legumes", Adv. Cereal Sci. Technol., vol. 8, pp. 171–206, 1986.

[47] S. K. Arora and Y. P. Luchra, "Metabolism of sulphur containing amino acids in Phaseolus aureus Linn.", Z. Pflanzenernahr. Bodenk., vol. 126, pp. 151–158, 1970.

[48] J. N. Schmit, "Le calcium dans le cellul generation en mitrose. Etude dans le tue pollinque en germination du clivia nobilis Lindl (Amaryllidaceae)", C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. (III) Higher Plants. Academic Press, London, 1981.

[49] J. Moorby and R. T. Besford, "Mineral nutrition and growth", In Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology New Series Vol. 15, B: Inorganic Plant Nutrition. Eds. A Lau "chli, RL Bieleski, pp. 481-515. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[50] S. Braconnier and J. d'Auzac, "Chloride and stomatal conductance in coconut", Plant Physiol. Biochem., vol. 28, pp. 105-112, 1990.

[51] A. C. Chang, A. L. Page, L. J. Lund, J. E. Warneke and C. O. Nelson, "Municipal sludges and utility ashes in California and their effects on soils", In: B. Bar-Yosef et al. (eds.) Inorganic Contaminants in the Vadose Zone. Ecological Studies, vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 125-139, 1989.

[52] A. Sharma, A. Sharma and M. L. Naik, Physico-chemical properties of a steel plant wastewater and its effect on soil and plant characteristics, Indian J. Ecol., vol. 17(1), pp. 9-12, 1990.

[53] M. J. Hill and C. A. Lamp, "Use of pulverized fuel ash from Victorian brown coal as a source of nutrient for a pasture species", Aust. J. Exp. Agric. Anim. Husb., vol. 20, pp. 377-384, 1980.

[54] D. H. Klein, A. W. Andren, J. A. Carter, J. F. Emery, C. Feldman, W. Fulkerson, W. S. Lyon, J. C. Ogle, Y. Talmi, R. I. Van Hook and N. Bolton, "Pathways of thirty-seven trace elements through coal-fired power plants", Environ. Sci. Technol., vol. 9, pp. 973-979, 1975.

[55] J. W. Koakinen, R. M. Jorden, M. H. Lawasani and R. E. West, "Trace element behaviour in coal-fired power plant", Envrion. Sci. Technol., vol. 9, pp. 862-869, 1975.

[56] A. Wallace and G. A. Wallace, "Enhancement of the effect of coal fly ash by a poly acrylamide soil conditioner on growth of wheat", Soil Sci., vol. 141, pp. 387-389, 1986.

[57] D. C. Martens and B. R. Beahm, "Growth of plants in fly ash amended soils", p. 657-664. In: J.H. Faber et al. (eds.) Proc. 4th Int. Ash Utilization Symposium, St. Louis, MO 24-25 Mar. 1976. MERC SP-76/4. ERDA Morgantown Energy Res. Center, Morgan-town, WV, 1976.

[58] A. Wallace, G. V. Alexander, S. M. Soufi and R. T. Mueller, "Micronutrient supply power of pyrite and fly ash", J. Plant Nutr., vol. 2, pp. 147-153, 1980.

[59] D. C. Martens, Jr. M. G. Schnappinger and L.W. Zelazny, "The plant availability of potassium in fly ash", Proc. Am. Soc. Soil Sci., vol. 34, pp. 453-456, 1970.

[60] E. E. Cary, M. Gilbert, C. A. Bache, W. H. Gutenmann and D. J. Lisk, "Elemental composition of potted vegetables and millet grown on hard coal bottom ash-amended soil", Bull. Envrion. Contam. Toxicol., vol. 31, pp. 418-423, 1983.

[61] A. A. Elseewi, F. T. Bingham and A. L. Page, "Availability of sulphur in fly ash to plants", J. Environ. Qual., vol. 7(1), pp. 69-73, 1978.

[62] Jr. M. G. Schnappinger, D. C. Martens and C. O. Plank, "Zinc availability as influenced by application of fly ash to soil", Environ. Sci. Technol., vol. 9, pp. 258-261, 1975.

[63] N. Singh and M. Yunus, "Environmental impacts of fly ash", In:Environmental Hazards : Plants and People, (Iqbal, M., Srivastava, P.K. and Siddiqui, T.O. Eds.), pp. 60-79, CBS Publishers, New Delhi. pp. 404, 2000.

[64] A. M. Martensson and E. Witter, "Influence of various soil amendments on nitrogen fixing soil microoganisms in a long term field experiment, with special reference to sewage sludge", Soil Biol. Biochem., vol. 22, pp. 977-982, 1990.

[65] B. Bhaisare, D. B. Matte, W. P. Badole and A. Deshmukh, "Effect of flyash on yield, uptake of nutrients and quality of green gram grown on vertisol", J. Soils and Crops, vol. 10(1), pp. 122-124, 2000.

[66] S. T. Khan, Begum and J. Singh, "Effect of fly ash on physico-chemical properties and nutrient status of soil", Ind. J. Environ. Hlth., vol.38, pp. 41-46, 1996.

[67] A. V. Milovsky and O. V. Kononov, "Mineralogy", Mir Publishers, Moscow Savostin. P. 1992, Zpflanzenernaehr Bodent., vol. 1132, pp. 37-45, 1992.

[68] M. V. Sriramachandrasekharan, "Effect of industrial and organic wastes on groundnut in typic ustifluvent soil", Ann. Agric. Res., vol. 22(3), pp. 436-438, 2001.

[69] D. G. Rao and V. B. Subramanian, "Effect of P on nodulation, N harvest index and growth correlations between nodulation and other plant parts in cowpea under well-watered and dryland conditions", Indian J. Plant Physiol., vol. 33, pp. 275-281, 1990.

[70] K. B. Reddy, D. S. Reddy and C. M. Reddy, "Evaluation of groundnut genotypes for phosphorus use efficiency", Indian J. Plant Physiol., vol. 34, pp. 228-234, 1991.

[71] S. S. Parihar, "Yield and water use of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) as influenced by irrigation and phosphorus", Indian J. Agron., vol. 35, pp. 251-257, 1990.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

[72] R. K. Khokar and A. S. Warsi, "Fertilizer response studies in gram", Indian J. Agron., vol. 32, pp. 362-364, 1987.

[73] M. Idris, T. Mahmood and K. A. Malik, "Response of field grown chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) to phosphorus fertilization for yield and nitrogen fixation", Plant and Soil, vol. 114, pp. 135-138, 1989.

[74] P. N. Singh and H. Ram, "Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on concentration and uptake of N, Ca and Mg in chickpea", Indian J. Plant Physiol., vol. 35, pp. 109-113, 1992.

[75] M. S. Raju and S. C. Verma, "Response of Bengal gram (Cicer arietinum L.) varieties to phosphate fertilization in relation to F.Y.M. application and Rhizobium inoculation", Legume Res., vol. 7, pp. 23-26, 1984.

[76] R. T. Giaquinta and B. Quebedeaux, "Phosphate induced changes in assimilate partitioning in soybean leaves during pod filling", Plant Physiol., vol. 65, pp. 119 Suppl. Abstr. 657, 1980.

[77] M. M. Gukova and O. V. Tjulina, "Effect of potassium on the nitrogen uptake by leguminous crops", Izvestija Timirjazevskoy Sel. Skochozjajstvennoj Akademii., Moskva Nr. Vol. 3, pp. 100-109, 1968.

[78] M.M. H. Wu, Y. P. Yang and C. N. Tang, "Appraisal of the effectiveness of a native strain of soybean bacteria on a powdered carrier by islandwide field inoculation", Nung Lin Hsueh Pao (Kuo Li Chung Hsing Ta Hsuch), vol. 18, pp. 23-24, 1969.

[79] K. Mengel, M. R. Haghparast and Koch,"The effect of potassium on fixation of molecular nitrogen by roots of Vicia faba", Plant Physiol., vol. 54, pp. 535-538, 1974.

[80] N. H. Shaw, C. T. Gates and J. R. Wilson, "Growth and chemical composition of Townsville lucerne I. Dry matter yield and nitrogen content in response to superphosphate", Australian J. Exp. Agric. Anim. Husb., vol.6, pp. 150-156. In: The Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture, 1980, pp. 829. F.E. Khasawneh, E.C. Sample and E.J. Kamprath. (Eds.) Publ. by ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI., USA, 1966.

[81] C. S. Andrews and M. F. Robins, "The effect of P on the growth and chemical composition of some tropical legumes", II. N, Ca, Mg, K and Na contents. Australian J. Agric. Res. Vol. 20, pp. 675-685. In: The Role Phosphorus in Agriculture, 1980. pp. 829. F.E. Khasawneh, E.C. Sample and E.J. Kamprath (Eds.) Publ. by ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI., USA, 1969.

[82] E. A. A. Dradu, "Soil fertility studies on loam soils for pasture development in Uganda pot experiments", East African Agric. For. J., vol. 40, pp. 126-131. In: The Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture, 1980, pp. 829. F.E. Khasawneh, E.C. Sample and E.J. Kamprath. (Eds.) Publ. by ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI., USA, 1974.

[83] H. J. Evans and G. J. Sorger, "Role of mineral elements with emphasis on the univalent cations", Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol., vol. 17, pp. 47-76, 1966

[84] R. V. Tamhane, D. P. Motiramani, Y. P. Babi and R. L. Donahue, "Soils: Their Chemistry and Fertility in Tropical Asia", 1st Ed. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi, 1970.

[85] E. W. Russell, "Soil conditions and plant growth", 10th ed. Longman Group Ltd. London. In: The Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture, 1980, pp.

655. F.E. Khasawneh, E.C. Sample and E.J. Kamprath (Eds.) Publ. by ASA–CSSA–SSSA, Madison, W.I., U.S.A, 1973. [86] D. R. Bouldin and E. C. Sample, "The effect of associated salts on the availability of concentrated superphosphate", Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc., vol.22, pp. 124-129, 1958.

[87] D. R. Boulding and E. C. Sample, "Laboratory and green house studies with monocalcium, monoammonium and diammonium phosphates", Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc., vol. 23, pp. 338-342, 1959.

[88] M. R. Kilcher, S. Smoliak, W. H. Hubbard, A. Johnston, A. T. H. Gross and McCurdy, "Effects of inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on selected sites of native grassland in Western Canada", Can. J. Plant Sci., vol.45, pp. 229-237. In: The Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture. (Eds. Mays, D.A.; Wilkinson, S.R., and Cole, C.V.), pp. 831. ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, W.I., USA, 1965. [89] C. A. Black, "Soil-Plant Relationships", 2nd Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York. In: Nitrogen in Crop Production, 1984. (Ed. Tucker, T.L.),

pp. 250. ASA CSSA SSSA, Madison, WI., USA, 1968.